

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,334	10/31/2003	Ari Moskowitz	151P11699US01	9684
23322 75	90 06/16/2005 .		EXAMINER	
IPLM GROUP, P.A.			BIANCO, PATRICIA	
POST OFFICE	BOX 18455			
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	•		3762	
•			DATE MAIL ED. 04/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		SP				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/698,334	MOSKOWITZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this committee the	Patricia M. Bianco	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ma						
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 and 12-16 is/are wit 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	thdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/2/04	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: <u>Detailed Actio</u>	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/698,334

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant elected, without traverse, the invention of claims 2-11 in a telephone conversation with Examiner LoAn Thanh on May 24th, 2005.

Claims 1 and 12-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Drawings

The drawings were received on Feb. 04, 2005. These drawings are approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In line 2 the claim sets forth the limitation "*into said*

Art Unit: 3762

proximate a ventricle" and in line 3 "coupling a valve into said catheter." The recitation said proximate a ventricle is not a clear step; it appears that a word is missing between said and proximate. The step of coupling a valve into a catheter also does not make sense. Does applicant mean coupling a valve to a catheter? These recitations are confusing and render the claim indefinite. Correction is required.

Claims 4, 5, 7 & 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Independent claims 4 & 7 set forth the limitation "said catheter has approximately a one hundred eighty degree bend." The recitation is confusing since 180 degrees is a straight line and therefore cannot be a bend. With respect to claims 5 & 8, they are indefinite since they depend from claims 4 & 7 respectively. These recitations are confusing and render the claim indefinite. Correction is required.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "*into said cranium*" in line four of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Neither claim 4 or the claim it depends from sets for a step involving the cranium.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3762

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Børgesen (6,283,934). Børgesen discloses a device and method for treating hydrocephalus via implanting a catheter and valve system into the brain of a patient. The shunt/valve device is implanted between the sinus sagittalis and a ventricle. The device comprises a ventricle catheter and a sinus catheter, connected to one another by a valve. As shown in the figures depicting use or implantation, the sinus catheter has a bend, which is at least ninety degrees and appears to be approximately 7 to 11 centimeters from the opposite end of the catheter. Børgesen discloses that the device may have catheter portions made of silicone rubber, polyethylene, polypropylene, polycarbonate, polysulfone, polystyrene or PVC. Many of which have durometers of approximately 80. With respect to the method of implanting the shunt/valve device, Børgesen teaches that a first part or ventricle portion of the catheter is inserted into a ventricle, a sinus catheter portion is inserted into the sagittal sinus, and the valve is connected between them.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Watson et al. (5,662,600), Børgesen 2002/0045847 A1 & 2004/0073154 A1 all disclose analogous devices and methods.

Art Unit: 3762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia M. Bianco whose telephone number is (571) 272-4940. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:00-6:30, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 11th, 2005

PATRICIA BIANCO PRIMARY EXAMINER Patricia M Bianco Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762